Cosmic backreaction and Gauss's law

Cosmoback workshop Marseille, 28th-31st May 2018

Pierre Fleury



FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES

Presentation based on Phys. Rev. D 95, 124009 (2017), and ongoing work with C. Clarkson, T. Clifton, L. Reverberi

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations



distance - redshift relation

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations



(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations



(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

 $D_{\mathrm{A}}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda(z)$

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations

(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

$$D_{
m A}(\lambda)$$
 and $\lambda(z)$ <

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations

(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

$$D_{\rm A}(\lambda)$$
 and $\lambda(z)$ lensing

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations

(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

Paraphrasing Kolb, Marra, Matarrese (2009)

« strong backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect expansion law
 « weak backreaction » = inhomogeneities affect observations
 « fitting problem » [Ellis, 1987]

(usually) angular distance - redshift relation $D_{\rm A}(z)$

$$D_{
m A}(\lambda)$$
 and $\lambda(z)$ <

Backreaction: two questions

inhomogeneity



Backreaction: two questions

inhomogeneity



lumpiness/discreteness



Is there backreaction due to discreteness?

Results towards « discrete = continuous »

Results towards « discrete = continuous »

• Swiss-cheese models [Einstein & Straus 1945]



Results towards « discrete = continuous »

- Swiss-cheese models [Einstein & Straus 1945]
- infinite lattice universes
 [Bruneton & Larena 2012]
 [Bentivegna & Korzynski 2013]
 [Yoo et al 2012]



Results towards « discrete = continuous »

- Swiss-cheese models [Einstein & Straus 1945]
- infinite lattice universes
 [Bruneton & Larena 2012]
 [Bentivegna & Korzynski 2013]
 [Yoo et al 2012]
- post-Newtonian cosmology
 [Sanghai & Clifton 2015]



Results towards « discrete = continuous »

- Swiss-cheese models [Einstein & Straus 1945]
- infinite lattice universes
 [Bruneton & Larena 2012]
 [Bentivegna & Korzynski 2013]
 [Yoo et al 2012]
- post-Newtonian cosmology
 [Sanghai & Clifton 2015]



...but some backreaction found in *finite* lattice universes

[Clifton et al. 2012] [Korzynski 2014]









homogeneous self-gravitating system $oldsymbol{v}(t,oldsymbol{x}) = H(t)oldsymbol{x}$



homogeneous self-gravitating system $\boldsymbol{v}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = H(t)\boldsymbol{x}$



$$\boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = H(t)\boldsymbol{x}$$



$$\begin{split} E &= E_{\rm kin} + E_{\rm grav} \\ &= \int d^3 x \, \frac{\rho v^2}{2} - \int d^3 x \, d^3 x' \, \frac{G \rho^2}{|x - x'|} \\ &= \frac{3}{10} M H^2 r^2 - \frac{3}{5} \frac{G M^2}{r}, \end{split}$$

$$M = \operatorname{cst}$$

 $E = \operatorname{cst}$
 $\boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = H(t)\boldsymbol{x}$

$$\begin{split} E &= E_{\rm kin} + E_{\rm grav} \\ &= \int d^3 x \, \frac{\rho v^2}{2} - \int d^3 x \, d^3 x' \, \frac{G \rho^2}{|x - x'|} \\ &= \frac{3}{10} M H^2 r^2 - \frac{3}{5} \frac{G M^2}{r}, \end{split}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{K}{a^2}$$

$$M = \operatorname{cst}$$

 $E = \operatorname{cst}$
 $\boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = H(t)\boldsymbol{x}$

Two models



$$E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})} = -\int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \, d^3 \boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}$$



$$E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})} = -\sum_{\mathcal{C}} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{C}} d^3 \boldsymbol{x} d^3 \boldsymbol{x}' \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}$$
$$-\sum_{\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}'} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{C}'} d^3 \boldsymbol{x} d^3 \boldsymbol{x}' \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}$$



$$E_{\text{grav}}^{(C)} = \underbrace{-\sum_{\mathcal{C}} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x} \, \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}'}_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}$$
$$-\sum_{\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}'} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{C}'} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x} \, \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}' \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}$$











 $E_{\rm grav, self} \propto Gm^2/\ell$



$$E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})} = N^{-2/3} E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})}$$

$$\underbrace{-\sum_{\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}'} \int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{C}'} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x} \, \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}' \frac{G\rho^2}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}}_{E_{\text{grav,int}}}$$

$$E_{\text{grav,self}} \propto Gm^2/\ell \longrightarrow \frac{E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})}}{E_{\text{grav,self}}} = \frac{M^2 \ell}{m^2 L} = N^{5/3}$$



$$E_{\rm grav}^{(\rm C)} = N^{-2/3} E_{\rm grav}^{(\rm C)} + E_{\rm grav}^{(\rm D)}$$



$$E_{\text{grav,self}} \propto Gm^2/\ell \longrightarrow \frac{E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})}}{E_{\text{grav,self}}} = \frac{M^2\ell}{m^2L} = N^{5/3}$$

Gauss's law $\longrightarrow E_{\text{grav,int}} \approx E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{D})}$

$$E_{\rm grav}^{\rm (D)} \approx \left(1 - N^{-2/3}\right) E_{\rm grav}^{\rm (C)}$$

$$E_{\text{grav,self}} \propto Gm^2/\ell \longrightarrow \frac{E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{C})}}{E_{\text{grav,self}}} = \frac{M^2\ell}{m^2L} = N^{5/3}$$

Gauss's law $\longrightarrow E_{\text{grav,int}} \approx E_{\text{grav}}^{(\text{D})}$

I am not lying to you



Kinetic energy

Kinetic energy

...similar calculations...

We actually get the same scaling law:

$$E_{\rm kin}^{\rm (D)} = \left(1 - N^{-2/3}\right) E_{\rm kin}^{\rm (C)}$$

So what happens?

$$E^{(D)} = E^{(D)}_{kin} + E^{(D)}_{grav}$$

= $\left(1 - N^{-2/3}\right) \left(E^{(C)}_{kin} + E^{(C)}_{grav}\right)$
= $\left(1 - N^{-2/3}\right) E^{(C)}$
 $K^{(D)} = (1 - N^{-2/3}) K^{(C)}$

Discrete model: **same dynamics** as the continuous model, but with a renormalized spatial curvature



t



t





 $E \propto 1 - N^{-2/3}$ decreases as bound structures form



 $E \propto 1 - N^{-2/3}$ decreases as bound structures form

Interpretation: energy leaks from the expansion dynamics towards internal degrees of freedom.

Comparison with relativistic results



[Clifton, Rosquist, Tavakol, 2012]

Newtonian conclusions

- Positively curved Universe: the formation of bound structures effectively weakens spatial curvature
- Infinite Universe: no effect
- Explains the discrepancies between previous results in the literature (finite vs infinite lattices)

Newtonian conclusions

- Positively curved Universe: the formation of bound structures effectively weakens spatial curvature
- Infinite Universe: no effect
- Explains the discrepancies between previous results in the literature (finite vs infinite lattices)



What about alternative theories of gravity?

 $S = S_{\rm EH}[g_{\mu\nu}] + S_{\phi}[\phi] + S_{\rm m}[\psi, C^2(\phi)g_{\mu\nu}]$

 $S = S_{\rm EH}[g_{\mu\nu}] + S_{\phi}[\phi] + S_{\rm m}[\psi, C^2(\phi)g_{\mu\nu}]$

general relativity

$$S = S_{\rm EH}[g_{\mu\nu}] + S_{\phi}[\phi] + S_{\rm m}[\psi, C^2(\phi)g_{\mu\nu}]$$

general relativity

fifth force



Discrete and continuous







 $\phi(t) \label{eq:phi}$ no additional force

Discrete and continuous



If the scalar field has no potential, the expansion dynamics is **unchanged** [Sanghai & Clifton 2017]

Escaping Gauss's law (in modified gravity)

- modification of gravity with a potential (Yukawa-like fifth force)
- screening mechanisms
- compact dark matter (PBH, ...)

Conclusion

- In Newtonian gravity / GR, discreteness causes backreaction in positively curved universes
- The correction is realistically small, and vanishes in an infinite Universe
- Gauss's law is central in this mechanism
- In alternative theories of gravity, the amplitude of the corrections must be evaluated

Back-up slides

Yukawa gravity

 $\Delta \Phi - \lambda^{-2} \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$

 $\int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \, \mathrm{d}S = -4\pi G M_{\mathcal{D}} - \lambda^{-2} \int_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \Phi \, \mathrm{d}V$ I violate Gauss

A consequence





A consequence



$$\frac{E_{\text{grav,int}}^{\bigcirc -\bigcirc}}{E_{\text{grav,int}}^{\bullet -\bullet}} = \Gamma^2 \left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)$$

with



 $\Gamma(x) \equiv \frac{3}{x^3} \left(x \cosh x - \sinh x \right)$

Backreaction effect

Contrary to the Newtonian case:

- forming bound structures does **not** have the same effect on kinetic and gravitational energies;
- a correction **persists** even in an infinite universe;
- it is equivalent to renormalizing Newton's constant:

$$\frac{G_{\text{eff}}}{G} = \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(R/\lambda)} \left[1 - \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^2 \Delta\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) \right]$$



 λ : Compton wavelength of the graviton

R: radius that the largest bound structures should have if they had the mean density of the Universe